

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,282	06/10/2005	Stephen M Pitchers	GB02 0227 US	1864
24738	7590 12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION			DAO, MINH D	
••	ΓUAL PROPERTY & S .Υ DRIVE, M/S-41SJ	TANDARDS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,			2618	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		10/538,2	82	PITCHERS, STEPHEN M				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
	<u> </u>	MINH D.	DAO	2618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- cation. by period will apply and well by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN vent, however, may a vill expire SIX (6) MO plication to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status				•				
1) 🗌 .	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on						
,	Fhis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance excep	t for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	ote the attach	ed Office Action or form P	[,] TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International	· ·						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cer	tified copies no	ot received.				
	·							
d.								
Attachmen	t(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/538,282

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liang (US 7,099,671).

Regarding claim 1, Liang teaches an electronic device, comprising: a first wireless transceiver module using a first communication protocol (see fig. 3, item 320); a second wireless transceiver module using a second communication protocol (see fig. 3, item 330), the second wireless transceiver module comprising a controller for avoiding an interference with an external signal on a frequency of the second communication protocol (see fig. 5; col. 8, line 33 to col. 9, line 47. In this case, the MAC 515 of Liang reads on the Controller of the present invention); and a mediator coupled between the first wireless transceiver module and the second wireless transceiver module, the mediator being arranged to provide the controller with a blocking signal in response to an enabled communication involving the first wireless transceiver module (see fig. 5;

Application/Control Number: 10/538,282

Art Unit: 2618

col. 8, line 33 to col. 9, line 47). In this case, the CU 510 of Liang reads on the Mediator

of the present invention).

Regarding claim 2, Liang teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein

the controller implements at least a part of a carrier sense multiple access collision

avoidance principle (see col. 8, line 33 to col. 9, line 47).

Regarding claim 3, Liang teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein

the first wireless transceiver module and the second wireless transceiver module share

at least a part of a physical layer (see fig. 5, items 520,525,535).

Regarding claim 4, Liang teaches an electronic device (400-) as claimed in claim 1,

wherein the mediator is arranged to provide the blocking signal during a time interval

matching the duration of the enabled communication (see col. 11, line 12 to col. 12, line

46).

Regarding claim 5, Liang teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein

the first wireless transceiver module comprises a further controller for avoiding an

interference with a further external signal on a frequency of the first communication

protocol; the mediator being further arranged to provide the further controller with a

further blocking signal in response to a further enabled communication involving

the second wireless transceiver module (see fig. 5, item 530 and 535).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 6, Liang teaches a method for controlling communications involving a communication system, the communication system comprising: a first wireless transceiver module using a first communication protocol; a second wireless transceiver module using a second communication protocol, the second wireless transceiver module comprising a controller for avoiding an interference with an external signal on a frequency of the second communication protocol; the method comprising the steps of: detecting an enabled communication involving the first wireless transceiver module; and providing the controller with a blocking signal in response to the enabled communication (see figs. 3 and 5; col. 8, line 33 to col. 9, line 47).

Regarding claim 7, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 1, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Liang also teaches a wired network (see fig. 3, Digital Device 310 coupled to items 320 (802.11) and 330 (Bluetooth); also col. 8, line 33 to col. 9, line 47).

Regarding claim 8, Liang teaches a communication system as claimed in claim 7, wherein the mediator is coupled to the controller via the wired network (see fig. 3, Digital Device 310 coupled to items 320 (802.11) and 330 (Bluetooth); also col. 8, line 33 to col. 9, line 47).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 9, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 5, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5.

Regarding claim 10, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 3, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,282 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao MM7 AU 2618

December 8, 2006

Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618